

WHAT IS A HILBERT C^* -MODULE?

MOHAMMAD SAL MOSLEHIAN

ABSTRACT. Hilbert C^* -modules are useful tools in AW^* -algebra theory, theory of operator algebras, operator K-theory, group representation theory and theory of operator spaces. The theory of Hilbert C^* -modules is very interesting on its own. In this paper we give fundamentals of the theory of Hilbert C^* -modules and examine some ways in which Hilbert C^* -modules differ from Hilbert spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a Hilbert C^* -module is a generalization of the notion of a Hilbert space. The first use of such objects was made by I. Kaplansky [5]. The research on Hilbert C^* -modules began in the 70's in the work of the induced representations of C^* -algebras by M. A. Rieffel [9] and the doctoral dissertation of W. L. Paschke [8]. It is also used to study Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras, KK-theory of C^* -algebras, operator K-theory, C^* -algebra quantum group and theory of operator spaces. In this paper we shall view fundamentals of the theory of Hilbert C^* -module and discuss on some its aspects.

2. HILBERT C^* -MODULE

Definition 2.1. A pre-Hilbert module over a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a complex linear space $\mathcal{X}$ which is an algebraic right $\mathcal{A}$ -module equipped with an $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

- (i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ iff $x = 0$;
- ii) $\langle x, y + \lambda z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \lambda \langle x, z \rangle$;
- (iii) $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$;
- (iv) $\langle y, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^*$

for each $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark 2.2. Left pre-Hilbert C^* -modules are defined similarly.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert C^* -module, adjointable map, full C^* -module, self-duality, C^* -reflexivity, linking algebra.

- Example 2.3.** (i) Every inner product space is a left pre-Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}$.
(ii) If I is a (closed) right ideal of $\mathcal{A}$, then I is a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if we define $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$; in particular $\mathcal{A}$ is a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module.
(iii) Let $\{\mathcal{X}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ be a finite family of pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Then the vector space direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{X}_i$ is a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if we define

$$(x_1, \dots, x_m)a = (x_1a, \dots, x_ma), \langle (x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, y_i \rangle$$

- (iv) If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, then algebraic (vector space) tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{A}$ is a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module under

$$(\xi \otimes a).b = \xi \otimes (ab), \langle \xi \otimes a, \eta \otimes b \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle a^*b$$

Let $t = \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes a_i \rangle$ and let $\{\epsilon_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ be an orthogonal basis for the finite

dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by the ξ_i . If $\xi_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{ik} \epsilon_k$, then

$$t = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ik} a_i \right)^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ik} a_i \right) \geq 0.$$

If $t = 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ik} a_i = 0$ for all k , from which

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes a_i = \sum_i \left(\sum_k \lambda_{ik} \epsilon_k \right) \otimes a_i = \sum_i \sum_k \epsilon_k \otimes \lambda_{ik} a_i = \sum_k \epsilon_k \otimes \left(\sum_i \lambda_{ik} a_i \right) = 0$$

Theorem 2.4. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, then

$$\langle y, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \leq \| \langle x, x \rangle \| \langle y, y \rangle.$$

Proof. Suppose $\| \langle x, x \rangle \| = 1$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $0 \leq \langle xa - y, xa - y \rangle = a^* \langle x, x \rangle a - \langle y, x \rangle a - a^* \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \leq a^* a - \langle y, x \rangle a - a^* \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$ (since if c is a positive element of $\mathcal{A}$, then $a^*ca \leq \|c\|a^*a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$; [1, Corollary I.4.6]) Now put $a = \langle x, y \rangle$ to get $a^*a \leq \langle y, y \rangle$. $\square$

For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, Put

$$\|x\| = \| \langle x, x \rangle \|^{1/2}$$

Then $\| \langle x, y \rangle \|^2 = \| \langle x, y \rangle^* \langle x, y \rangle \| = \| \langle y, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \| \leq \| \langle x, x \rangle \| \| \langle y, y \rangle \| = \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2$. Hence

$$\| \langle x, y \rangle \| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

It follows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is continuous. Obviously $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 2.5. A pre-Hilbert C^* -module $\mathcal{X}$ is called a Hilbert C^* -module if $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with the above norm is complete. Note that a Banach space completion of a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module, is a Hilbert C^* -module.

We have $0 \leq \langle xa, xa \rangle = a^* \langle x, x \rangle a \leq a^* \|x\|^2 a$. Taking norm we get $\| \langle xa, xa \rangle \| \leq \|a^* \|x\|^2 a\| \|xa\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \|a\|^2$ or $\|xa\| \leq \|x\| \|a\|$. Hence $\mathcal{X}$ is a normed $\mathcal{A}$ -module. There is also an $\mathcal{A}$ -valued norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathcal{X}$ given by $|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$.

Example 2.6. Let $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}$ be a sequence of Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Then $\oplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n = \{\{x_n\} \mid x_n \in \mathcal{X}_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \text{ converges in } \mathcal{A}\}$ with operations $\{x_n\} + \lambda \{y_n\} = \{x_n + \lambda y_n\}$, $\{x_n\}a = \{x_n a\}$, $\langle \{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle$ is a Hilbert C^* -module. Note

that in $\bigoplus_{n=p}^q \mathcal{X}_n$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=p}^q \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=p}^q \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \right\| \left\| \sum_{n=p}^q \langle y_n, y_n \rangle \right\|.$$

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle$ converges, by the Cauchy criterion. For completeness, Let $\{u_k\}_k$

be a Cauchy sequence in $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n$ and for all k , $u_k = \{x_{n,k}\}_n$. Applying $\|x_{n,k} - x_{n,l}\| =$

$$\| \langle x_{n,k} - x_{n,l}, x_{n,k} - x_{n,l} \rangle \| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n,k} - x_{n,l}, x_{n,k} - x_{n,l} \rangle \right\| = \|u_k - u_l\|^2,$$

we conclude that $\{x_{n,k}\}_k$ is Cauchy, for each $n \in N$. Hence for each n , there exists v_n such that $\lim_k x_{n,k} = v_n$. Now put $v = \{v_n\}$. Then $\lim_k u_k = v$.

Hilbert C^* -modules behave like Hilbert spaces in some way, for example:

$$\|x\| = \sup\{\| \langle x, y \rangle \| ; y \in \mathcal{X}, \|y\| \leq 1\}$$

But there is one fundamental way in which Hilbert C^* -modules differ from Hilbert spaces: Given a closed submodule $\mathcal{F}$ of a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{X}$, define

$$\mathcal{F}^{\perp} = \{y \in \mathcal{X} \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}^\perp$ is a closed submodule. But usually $\mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}^\perp$, $\mathcal{F}^{\perp\perp} \neq \mathcal{F}$.

Example 2.7. Take $\mathcal{A} = C([0, 1])$, $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(1/2) = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \{0\}$. ($\forall g \in \mathcal{F}^\perp; g(t) \mid t - 1/2 \mid = 0$. Hence $g \equiv 0$ by continuity) Pythagoras' equality stating $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\xi \perp \eta$ imply $\|\xi + \eta\|^2 = \|\xi\|^2 + \|\eta\|^2$, does not hold, in general, for Hilbert C^* -modules. For example consider $\mathcal{A} = C([0, 1] \cup [2, 3])$ as a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & x \in [2, 3] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1] \\ 1 & x \in [2, 3] \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\langle f, g \rangle = fg = 0, \quad \|f + g\| = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\| = \|g\| = 1$$

Definition 2.8. A closed submodule $\mathcal{F}$ of a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{X}$ is topologically complementable if there is a closed submodule $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$. $\mathcal{F}$ is called orthogonally complemented if we have the further condition $\mathcal{F} \perp \mathcal{G}$.

Not every topologically complemented is orthogonally complemented as the following example shows.

Example 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A} = C([0, 1])$, $J = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(0) = 0\} \simeq C_0((0, 1])$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A} \oplus J$ as a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. If $\mathcal{F} = \{(f, f) \mid f \in J\}$, then $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \{(g, -g) \mid g \in J\}$, $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}^\perp = J + J \neq \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{(f, 0) \mid f \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a topological complement for $\mathcal{F}$.

3. FULL HILBERT C^* -MODULES

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and let $\{e_\lambda\}$ be an approximate unit for $\mathcal{A}$. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\langle x - xe_\lambda, x - xe_\lambda \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - e_\lambda \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, x \rangle e_\lambda + e_\lambda \langle x, x \rangle e_\lambda \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$. Hence $\lim_\lambda xe_\lambda = x$. It follows that $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}$ which is defined to be the linear span of $\{xa \mid x \in \mathcal{X}, a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}$ and if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, then $x.1 = x$. Clearly $\langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X} \rangle = \text{span}\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is a $*$ -bi-ideal of $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 3.1. If $\langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X} \rangle$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is called full. For example $\mathcal{A}$ as an $\mathcal{A}$ -module is full.

4. ADJOINABLE MAPS BETWEEN HILBERT C^* -MODULES

Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and

$$L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) = \{t : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \mid \exists t^* : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}; \langle tx, y \rangle = \langle x, t^*y \rangle\}$$

t must be $\mathcal{A}$ -linear, since $\langle t(xa), y \rangle = \langle xa, t^*y \rangle = a^* \langle x, t^*y \rangle = a^* \langle tx, y \rangle = \langle (tx)a, y \rangle$ for all y . So $\langle t(xa) - (tx)a, y \rangle = 0$ and hence $\langle t(xa) - (tx)a, t(xa) - (tx)a \rangle = 0$. It follows that $t(xa) - (tx)a = 0$. Similarly; $t(\lambda x + y) = \lambda tx + ty$ t must be bounded since For each x in the unit ball of $\mathcal{X}$, define $f_x : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $f_x(y) = \langle tx, y \rangle = \langle x, t^*y \rangle$. Then $\|f_x(y)\| \leq \|x\| \|t^*y\| \leq \|t^*y\|$. So $\{\|f_x\|; x \in \mathcal{X}_1\}$ is bounded. This and $\|tx\| = \sup_{y \in \mathcal{F}_1} \|\langle tx, y \rangle\| = \sup_{y \in \mathcal{F}_1} \|f_x(y)\| = \|f_x\|$ show that t is bounded. $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ is called the space of adjointable maps and we put $L(\mathcal{X}) = L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$.

A bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map need not be adjointable:

Example 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A} = C([0, 1])$, $\mathcal{X} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}; f(1/2) = 0\}$ and $i : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, f \mapsto f$ be the inclusion map. If i were adjointable and 1 denote the identity element of $\mathcal{A}$, then for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$; $\langle x, i^*(1) \rangle = \langle i(x), 1 \rangle = \langle x, 1 \rangle$. Hence $i^*(1) = 1$. But $1 \notin \mathcal{X}$ and so i cannot be adjointable. (Collate this with Hilbert spaces.)

5. $L(\mathcal{X})$ AS A C^* -ALGEBRA

If $t \in L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$, then $t^* \in L(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and $s \in L(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, then $st \in L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$. Hence $L(\mathcal{X})$ is a $*$ -algebra. If $t_n \rightarrow t$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n^*y - t_m^*y\| &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_1} \|\langle x, (t_n^* - t_m^*)y \rangle\| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_1} \|\langle (t_n - t_m)x, y \rangle\| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_1} \|(t_n - t_m)x\| \|y\| \\ &\leq \|t_n - t_m\| \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\{t_n^*y\}$ converges to, say, sy . Hence

$$\langle tx, y \rangle = \lim_n \langle t_n x, y \rangle = \langle x, \lim_n t_n^* y \rangle = \langle x, sy \rangle.$$

Thus $t \in L(\mathcal{X})$. Thus $L(\mathcal{X})$ is a closed subset of

$$B(\mathcal{X}) = \{T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \mid T \text{ is linear and bounded}\}.$$

Hence $L(\mathcal{X})$ is a Banach algebra. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t\|^2 &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_1} \|tx\|^2 \\
&= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_1} \| \langle tx, tx \rangle \| \\
&= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_1} \| \langle t^*tx, x \rangle \| \\
&\leq \|t^*t\| \\
&\leq \|t^*\| \|t\| \\
&\leq \|t\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|t\|^2 = \|t^*t\|$. Thus $L(\mathcal{X})$ is a C^* -algebra.

6. “COMPACT” OPERATORS

Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}$ define $\Theta_{x,y} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by $\Theta_{x,y}(z) = x \langle y, z \rangle$. Then $\Theta_{x,y}^* = \Theta_{y,x} \Theta_{x,y} \Theta_{u,v} = \Theta_{x \langle y, u \rangle, v}$, $t\Theta_{x,y} = \Theta_{tx,y}$. Let $K(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$, the set of “compact” operators, be the closed linear span of $\{\Theta_{x,y} \mid x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{F}\}$. It is straightforward to show that $K(\mathcal{X}) = K(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{X})$ is a closed bi-ideal of $L(\mathcal{X})$.

Example 6.1. In the case $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}$, we have $K(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ given by $\Theta_{a,b} \longleftrightarrow ab^*$; cf. [6, Page 10]. If $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, then $K(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A})$. In fact if $t \in L(\mathcal{A})$, then $tx = t(1.x) = t(1)x = \Theta_{t(1),1}(x)$ and so $t = \Theta_{t(1),1} \in K(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is not unital, $K(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ and $L(\mathcal{A}) \simeq M(\mathcal{A})$, the multiplier of $\mathcal{A}$, the maximal C^* -algebra containing $\mathcal{A}$ as an essential ideal.

Theorem 6.2. *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then $M(K(\mathcal{X})) \simeq L(\mathcal{X})$; cf. [11, Theorem 15.2.12]*

7. DUAL OF A HILBERT C^* -MODULE

For a pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{X}^\#$, the dual of $\mathcal{X}$, be the set of all bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -linear maps $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{X}^\#$ is a linear space and a right $\mathcal{A}$ -module under $(\alpha + \beta)(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)$, $(\lambda\alpha)(x) = \lambda\alpha(x)$, $(\alpha.a)(x) = a^*\alpha(x)$, $(a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathcal{X})$. $\psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^\#, x \mapsto \hat{x}$, where $\hat{x} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \hat{x}(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ is an isometric $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map. Identify $\mathcal{X}$ with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \{\hat{x}; x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ as a submodule of $\mathcal{X}^\#$.

Problem 7.1. Could $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{X}$ be extended to an $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product on $\mathcal{X}^\#$? W. L. Paschke showed that it can be done if $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra [8].

8. SELF-DUAL HILBERT C^* -MODULES

Definition 8.1. $\mathcal{X}$ is called self dual if $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}^\#$.

Theorem 8.2. $\mathcal{A}$ as a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module is self-dual iff $\mathcal{A}$ is unital.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be unital with unit 1 and let $t \in \mathcal{A}^\#$. Then for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$;

$$t(a) = t(1.a) = t(1).a = \langle t(1)^*, a \rangle = (t(1)^*)^\wedge(a).$$

Hence $t = (t(1)^*)^\wedge \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\#$. If $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}^\#$, then $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, i(x) = x$ being bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -linear is of the form $\widehat{a}$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence for all $x \in \mathcal{A}, x = i(x) = \widehat{a}(x) = \langle a, x \rangle = a^*x$. So a^* is the unit of $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Theorem 8.3. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a self-dual closed $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of a Hilbert C^* -algebra $\mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is orthogonally complementable.

Proof. For each $e \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists some $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\langle e, . \rangle = \langle f, . \rangle$ on $\mathcal{F}$. So $\langle e - f, . \rangle = 0$ on $\mathcal{F}$. Hence $e - f \in \mathcal{F}^\perp$. Since $e = (e - f) + f$, $\mathcal{F}$ is orthogonally complementable. $\square$

Saworotnow showed that every Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module over a finite dimensional C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is self-dual [10]. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, define $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ to be the set of all bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -module maps from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{A}^{**}$. Clearly $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is right $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -module. and the map $x \mapsto \widehat{x}$ is an embedding of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$. As Paschke demonstrate, there is an extension of the inner product of $\mathcal{X}$ to an $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -valued inner product of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ for which $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^\# \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$; [8]. Thus every Hilbert module can be embedded in a self-dual Hilbert module.

9. C^* -REFLEXIVITY

Denote by $\mathcal{X}^{\#\#}$ the $\mathcal{A}$ -module of all bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -module maps from $\mathcal{X}^\#$ into $\mathcal{A}$. Let Ω be the module map $\Omega : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\#\#}, \Omega(x)(\tau) = \tau(x)^*; x \in \mathcal{X}, \tau \in \mathcal{X}^\#$.

Definition 9.1. A Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{X}$ is called C^* -reflexive, if Ω is a module isomorphism. In the Hilbert W^* -module setting, C^* -reflexivity is equivalent to the self-duality; [8]

10. RIESZ THEOREM FOR HILBERT C^* -MODULES

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module and $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

$$\Theta_{a,z}(y) = a \langle z, y \rangle = \langle za^*, y \rangle = (za^*)^\wedge(y)(*)$$

and so $\widehat{x} \in K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$, whenever x is of the form za^* . Since $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{A}$ such that $\lim x_n = x$. But $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}), x \mapsto \widehat{x}$ is continuous (isometry). Hence $\widehat{x} = \lim_n \widehat{x}_n \in K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$, since $K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ is closed in $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$.

(*) shows that every element of $K(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ is of the form $\widehat{x} = \langle x, \cdot \rangle$ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}$, a Riesz theorem for Hilbert C^* -modules.

11. LINKING ALGEBRA

Let $\Theta_{x,y}$ be denoted by $[x, y]$. Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is an $L(\mathcal{E})$ -valued inner product on $\mathcal{X}$, for which $\mathcal{E}$ becomes a left Hilbert $L(\mathcal{X})$ -module. A Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{X}$ can be embedded into a certain C^* -algebra $\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$:

Denote by $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{A}$, the direct sum of Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. Recall $\langle (x_1, a_1), (x_2, a_2) \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + a_1^* a_2$. Identify each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, a \mapsto xa$. The adjoint of this map is $x^*(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$, (indeed $x^* = \widehat{x}$). Put

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{X}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} b & x \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, x, y \in \mathcal{X}, b \in L(\mathcal{X}) \right\}.$$

$\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $L(\mathcal{F})$, called the linking algebra of $\mathcal{X}$. Then $\mathcal{X} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{X} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathcal{A} \simeq$

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}$ and $L(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \begin{bmatrix} L(\mathcal{X}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Furthermore, $\langle x, y \rangle$ of $\mathcal{X}$ becomes the product x^*y in $\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$ and the module multiplication $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ becomes a part of the internal multiplication of $\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$. Suppose now that $\pi : \Lambda(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a faithful representation of $\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$. Then by restriction, π gives maps $\phi = \pi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Phi = \pi|_{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $\phi(\langle x, y \rangle) = \Phi(x)^* \Phi(y)$ and $\Phi(xa) = \Phi(x)\phi(a)$, ($x, y \in \mathcal{X}, a \in \mathcal{A}$) Hence $\mathcal{X}$ can be isometrically embedded into $B(\mathcal{H})$ via Φ . Therefore $\mathcal{X}$ can be regarded as an operator space; i.e. a closed subspace of $B(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. R. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by Example*, Fields Ins. Monog., 1996.
- [2] M. Frank, *Self-duality and C^* -reflexivity of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Zeitschr Anal. Anw. **9**(1990), 165–176.
- [3] M. Frank, *A multiplier approach to the Lance-Blecher theorem*, J. for Anal and its Appl., **16** (1997), no. 3, 565–573.
- [4] M. Frank, *Geometrical aspects of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Positivity, 1998.
- [5] I. Kaplansky, *Modules over operator algebras*, Amer J. Math., **75** (1953), 839–858.

- [6] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules*, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [7] B. Magajna, *Hilbert modules and tensor products of operator spaces*, Linear operators (Warsaw, 1994), 227–246, Banach Center Publ., 38, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1997.
- [8] W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468.
- [9] M. A. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence representations of C^* -algebras*, Adv. in Math., **13** (1974), 176–257.
- [10] P. P. Saworotnow, *A generalized Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 191–197.
- [11] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -algebras*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN.

E-mail address: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir and moslehian@member.ams.org